In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Crl. Misc. No. 62537-M of 2006
Date of decision:30.7.2007

Gurmeet Singh and others

---Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

---Respondent

Coram: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.S.SARON**

***

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. I.P.S.Sidhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate,
for the complainant.

***

S.S.Saron J. (Oral)

Mr. I.P.S. Sidhu, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab on instructions from Dilbagh Singh SI, Police Station, Mullepur District Fatehgarh Sahib submits that the cancellation report is being filed in the case, therefore, custody of the petitioner is not required for the purposes of investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the petitioners have committed the offences as attributed to them and the cancellation report is being wrongly filed.

Keeping in view the fact that cancellation report is being filed and custody of the petitioner is not required for the purposes of investigation, the present petition seeking pre-arrest bail is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

However, in future in case the custody of the petitioner is

required for the purposes of investigation, the petitioner shall be given five days' notice in advance. The complainant may seek his other remedies including that of filing a protest petition in case a cancellation report is filed.

Criminal Miscellaneous stands disposed of.

(S.S.SARON)
JUDGE

July 30, 2007
paramjit